

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**MARLYN WEBB and
PAULINE SANCHEZ,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. CIV 08-0411 MV/LAM

MICHAEL PADILLA, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING, AS MOOT, PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR LIMITED EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY (Doc. 82)**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' *Motion for Limited Extension of Discovery* (Doc. 82), filed on January 26, 2009. Defendants failed to file a response in opposition to the motion within the time prescribed for doing so which constitutes consent to grant the motion pursuant to D. N.M. LR-Civ. 7.1(b). Having considered the motion, the arguments of counsel at a hearing on the motion held on April 1, 2009, the record of this case and relevant law, the Court **FINDS** that the motion should be **DENIED**, as moot, because the issues raised by the motion have been resolved by the Court in an order setting new pretrial deadlines in this case. *See Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, as Moot, Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify All Deadlines Set Forth in the Scheduling Order and for Scheduling Conference (Doc. 113) (Doc. 134).*

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' *Motion for Limited Extension of Discovery* (Doc. 82) is **DENIED**, as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



LOURDES A. MARTÍNEZ
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE